			A			•
	D		C		Train :	,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

v.

RACHEL ALLEN,

08 CV 0871

Civil Action No.

: NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

Plaintiff,

INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING,

Defendant.

Defendant, Institute For Community Living, by and through its counsel, Lifton Budd & DeMaria, LLP, desiring to remove this civil action from the New York State Supreme Court, New York County to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441, hereby submits this Notice of Removal and alleges as

follows:

- 1. The named defendant consents to this action being removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.
- 2. The Plaintiff, Rachel Allen, commenced an action by filing a Summons and Complaint in the New York State Supreme Court, New York County. A copy of Plaintiff's Summons and Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.
- 3. The Notice of Removal is being filed within thirty (30) days of the receipt by Defendant of the Summons and Complaint and is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. §1441(b).
- 4. This is a civil action in which Plaintiff alleges disability discrimination under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA").
- 5. Defendant seeks removal based on 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq. Subject matter jurisdiction is also conferred by 28 U.S.C. §1331.

6. This is an action over which the United States District Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331. The federal statute under which Plaintiff's claims arise is 42 U.S.C. §12101, et seq. Accordingly, this Court has jurisdiction over the matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, and this action may be removed to this Court by Defendant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, whose attorneys have signed this Notice of Removal pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, pray that this action be removed to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

Date: January 23, 2008

New York, New York

Respectfully submitted, CLIFTON BUDD & DeMARIA, LLP Attorneys for Defendant

By:

George F. Brenlla, Esq. (GB-9612)

420 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10170

(212)687-7410

gfb\litigation\misc\INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING - Notice of Removal of Action - 01-23-2008

RACHEL ALLEN,	Index No. //5942 - Date Filed: //-30-
Plaintiffs,	Plaintiff designates New York
-against-	County as the place of trial
	The basis of the venue is Defendant's Place of Business
INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING,	SUMMONS
Defendants	Plaintiff resides at 175 Saratoga Avenue County of Kings

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED, to answer the

complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff (s) Attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is completed if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the state of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

DATED:

New York, New York

November 29, 2007

Defendant (s) 'address:

INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING

40 Rector Street 8th Floor New York, NY 10004

> MENT YORK COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

> > NOV 3 0 2007

NOT COMPARED WITH COPY FILE

DAVID SEGAL

Attorney for Plaintiff (s) Office & P.O. Address:

30 Vesey Street

New York, New York 10007

Tel. No.: (212) 406 - 9200

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
RACHEL ALLEN,	Index No.: 115942-07
	Dated Filed: //-30-07

Plaintiff,

v.

INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNITY LIVING,

Defendant. -----X

Plaintiff, by and through her attorney, David Segal, respectfully alleges, upon information and belief, as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. This Complaint is filed by plaintiff, Rachel Allen, an employee of the defendant. She brings this action to obtain full and complete relief and to redress the unlawful employment practices described herein.
- 2. This action seeks declaratory and equitable relief from discrimination in employment against plaintiff.
 - 3. This action is brought pursuant to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
- 4. The unlawful employment practices described herein involve defendant's treatment of plaintiff in an inferior manner due to her history of mental illness and then subsequently terminating her employment.

PARTIES

- 5. That at all times herein mentioned, plaintiff was a resident of the County of Kings, City and State of New York.
 - 6. That at all the times herein mentioned plaintiff suffered from mental illness.

- 7. That at all the times herein mentioned, defendant was a domestic corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant, is a private agency subsidized by City, State and Federal funding sources which assists individuals enrolled in its programs to recover from mental illness and substance abuse.
 - 9. Defendant has its headquarters in the County, City and State of New York.

FACTS

- 10. Plaintiff was enrolled in the defendant's program for treatment of drug abuse, mental illness and homelessness from 1999 to 2003.
- 11. Plaintiff became a supervisory peer advocate in the defendant's community and was subsequently hired as an assistant counselor in 2004.
 - 12. Plaintiff's work history with defendant was always satisfactory.
- 13. On January 29, 2007 the plaintiff was terminated by defendant for insubordination in the workplace on two (2) occasions.
- 14. Defendant unlawfully terminated plaintiff in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.
 - 15. Plaintiff did not and never acted in an improper manner.
 - 16. Plaintiff was terminated due to her medical condition.
- 17. Plaintiff has been unable to secure new employment since her unlawful termination by defendant.

EXHAUSTION OF REMEDIES

18. Plaintiff filed a complaint against defendant with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC).

- 19. The EEOC investigated plaintiff's complaint.
- 20. On September 5, 2007 the EEOC dismissed plaintiff's charges against defendant.

DAMAGES

- 21. The amount of damages sought exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all courts that would otherwise have jurisdiction.
 - 22. The plaintiff has suffered substantial damages.

RELIEF SOUGHT

Wherefore, plaintiff demands judgment against defendant as follows:

- A. Enter a declaratory judgment that defendant's termination of plaintiff was in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and directing defendant to rehire plaintiff.
- B. Enter a judgment against defendant and in favor of plaintiff in an amount equal to her back pay.
- C. Enter a judgment against defendant for out of pocket expenses incurred by plaintiff on account of defendant's unlawful discriminatory practices as aforesaid.
- D. Enter a judgment against defendant and in favor of plaintiff for reasonable attorney fees and costs.
 - E. Enter a judgment for compensatory damages.
 - F. Enter a judgment for punitive damages.
 - G. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York November 29, 2007

David Segal

Attorney for Plaintiff Office & P.O. Address: 30 Vesey Street, Suite 900 New York, N.Y. 10007

(212) 406-9200

COMPLETE THIS STUB

Endorse This INDEX NUMBER ON All Papers and advise your adversary of the number assigned. Sec. 202.5, Uniform Rules Of Trial Courts DO NOT DETACH

Title of Action or Proceeding to be TYPED or PRINTED by applicant SUPREME COURT, NEW YORK COUNTY

INDEX NUMBER FEE \$210.00

7115942

NEU YORK CIAMIY CLERK 60 CEMPRE STREET NEU YORK, NY 10007 R141 TOTAL 210.00
CHECK CONSIDER THE TIME TEN